

DPD-0313-59

2 2

January 9, 1959

Dear Doug:

Reference: Your letter DPD-0026-59
Contract SP-1917

Under cover of the referenced letter you returned our invoices numbers 10-16 and 10-21 covering telephone and TWX invoices paid by us from 1 July 1958 through October, 1958.

Your reason for returning these invoices, i.e., "We cannot process invoices-----without evidence that they were approved by [redacted] [redacted]-----per DFE-3644 of 27 August 1958", would appear to establish an additional requirement beyond the instructions given us per Contract Approval No. 1 dated 18 June 1958, DFE-1542, and Contract Approval No. 1, Change No. 1 per your letter DFE-3644 dated 27 August 1958. The two above contract approvals instructed us, so we interpreted, to obtain prior approval of the telephone and TWX invoices by [redacted] [redacted] through 18 August 1958, and [redacted] subsequent to 18 August 1958, before paying the telephone company. This we have done. The approval signature required is on each telephone bill, for the period covered by Invoices Nos. 10-16 and 10-21. Further, we have prepared an exhibit each month covering the telephone and TWX bills paid in that month. Said exhibits have been processed by us in the normal manner and bear the approval signature of the Contracting Officer.

If you still require additional evidence that these telephone and TWX charges have been properly approved pursuant to the above contract approvals, I would like to suggest the possibility of your having [redacted] in the future, audit our exhibit before we send it in for Contracting Officer approval.

With respect to the two invoices in question, you may wish to request [redacted] to verify that the stipulated approvals have been obtained. In any case, I will hold these invoices pending a reply to this letter.

Yours very truly,

Hal
Hal